Patient advocacy: breaking down barriers and challenging decisions

Why do nurses sometimes fail to advocate on behalf of patients whose best interests are at risk or whose wellbeing is compromised? This article discusses the role of nurses as patient advocates and the reasons why some find it difficult to fulfil their responsibilities in this area. Via a selection of narratives from real-life situations, the article raises questions and prompts readers to reflect on their ability to challenge other people’s decisions, behaviours or beliefs. This is the first in a series of occasional articles using narratives to investigate issues in nursing.

The Nursing and Midwifery Code states that it is the responsibility of nurses to “act as an advocate for the vulnerable” (Nursing and Midwifery Council, 2015). However, nurses sometimes struggle to assume this responsibility. What is it that prevents them challenging other people’s decisions, inappropriate behaviours or misplaced beliefs, in or outside the workplace?

This article explores the difficulties nurses face in their role as patient advocates and prompts readers to reflect on their own practice, via real-life narratives and reflection points relating to each. It is the first in a series of occasional articles using narratives to investigate issues in nursing.

Key points

1. The Nursing and Midwifery Code states that it is the responsibility of nurses to “act as an advocate for the vulnerable”.
2. Nurses can find it difficult to challenge decisions made by others.
3. Factors hindering nurses in their advocacy role include age, gender, attitude to power, personality, role conflict or social situation.
4. Strict hierarchies make it more difficult for nurses to raise concerns about patient care.
5. Nurses must find the resources to speak up if needed so that patients receive adequate and timely care.
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Box 1. Using narratives to reflect on practice

A series of seven articles published in Nursing Times in March and April 2016 explored the use of narratives for reflecting on practice. It highlighted how patients’ stories about their experiences of care offer invaluable opportunities for nurses to understand how their practice is perceived. The last article in the series (‘Patient narratives 7: how narratives can change nursing practice’) links back to the other six. Read more at: Bit.ly/NTPatientNarratives7
Case 1: challenging a colleague’s knowledge

Helen Taylor, in her early 20s, attended the ED with a wound approximately 10cm long across her left cheek after having been attacked with a knife. The nurse took a handover from the ambulance crew, assessed Ms Taylor and temporarily covered the wound.

Then, a junior doctor who was two months into his first ED rotation saw Ms Taylor. The nurse suggested that he refer Ms Taylor to the nearest plastic surgery unit for review by a plastic surgeon, as previous experience told her this was the best course of action with this type of wound. The doctor replied that he was more than capable of suturing the wound – his ultimate career goal was to become a plastic surgeon. The nurse pointed out that neither his ability nor his career path were in question, but that it was in Ms Taylor’s best interest to be seen by a plastic surgeon. The doctor went ahead regardless and sutured Ms Taylor’s face.

Despite being more experienced, the nurse felt she had to give in and did not confront the doctor further. She has seen Ms Taylor several times since, and each time she is reminded of the events of that night. Extensive scarring is now the most notable feature of Ms Taylor’s face. The nurse regrets not having asked the on-call consultant to intervene. She believes that, if she had stood her ground, Ms Taylor would now have a less prominent and image-damaging scar.

Confidence issue

Nurses often find themselves in situations that are similar to the one described above, in which patients depend on them to speak up on their behalf. These types of incidents still occur in many healthcare settings where nurses find it difficult to challenge the decisions made by other people (Churchman and Doherty, 2010). Churchman and Doherty (2010) suggest that personality, age, gender, fear, lack of confidence and occupational hierarchy could all be implicated.

In Ms Taylor’s case, the nurse’s surrender and inaction stemmed from her fear of confrontation and lack of confidence, while the junior doctor was, on the contrary, full of confidence. Also, in that ED’s culture, nurses were not expected to question doctors: there was a distinct occupational hierarchy, with nurses being seen as subordinate to doctors. Such a hierarchical structure creates an obstruction, making it difficult for nurses to raise concerns they may have about medical decisions (Department of Health, 2001). This type of culture has been reported to be potentially detrimental to patient care (Francis, 2013; DH, 2001).

Box 2. Reflecting on Case 1

Think of a time when you failed to speak up to defend a patient’s best interest:

- What were the consequences for the patient?
- How did you feel afterwards?
- Which reasons listed by Churchman and Doherty (2010) might explain your failure to speak up:
  - Personality
  - Age
  - Gender
  - Fear
  - Lack of confidence
  - Occupational hierarchy
- What could you do to address the reason that prevented you from advocating on behalf of that patient?

The NMC states that nurses must “take all reasonable steps to protect people who are vulnerable or at risk from harm, neglect or abuse” (NMC, 2015). Box 3 features reflection points on Mr Smith’s case.

Case 3: challenging qualified colleagues as a student

Linda Baker, a girl in her late teens who was dangerously underweight, was admitted to a specialist eating disorder unit. The team on that unit had been together for a number of years, and considered an older member of staff as the most experienced and knowledgeable. A student nurse heard this senior care worker shout at Ms Baker: “You know what you are doing? Just eat your food and stop making such a fuss. You are just attention seeking.” Although Ms Baker’s care plan explicitly stated that no reference to food should be made to her and that staff should avoid confronting her, the student nurse looked away and busied herself with another patient.

Box 4. Reflecting on Case 3

Think of a time when you did not comment on a colleague’s inappropriate attitude towards a patient:

- Why did you fail to speak up?
- What might have been the consequences of you speaking up for everyone involved (patient, staff member, yourself)?
- What courses of action did you feel were available to you?

The NMC states that as a nurse you must “raise and, if necessary, escalate any concerns you may have about patient or public safety, or the level of care people are receiving in your workplace or any other healthcare setting, and use the channels available to you in line with our guidance and your local working practices” (NMC, 2015). Box 4 features reflection points on Ms Baker’s case.

Case 4: challenging family members

Three-year-old Jack Parker attended the ED with a 5cm deep wound on his forehead, which he had sustained from a fall while out on a country walk with his parents. He had fallen down a steep hill and hit his head several times before landing in a puddle. His wound was cleaned and
adhesive dressings were used to close it. There was a high risk of tetanus, so Jack also needed a tetanus injection booster. Jack’s parents were asked whether his immunisations were up to date, to which they replied that he had never been immunised because they believed vaccinations were toxic and would cause him more harm than good. They did not think Jack was at risk, because he was healthy, besides which they had never heard of a case of tetanus in this country.

The nurse gave Jack’s parents extensive information about tetanus and how it can be prevented by an injection, pointing out that tetanus was a rare occurrence precisely because of immunisation. However, they made it clear that they would not give their consent for an injection. They left with advice on how to care for the wound and what signs and symptoms should ring an alarm bell, and were told to come back with advice on how to care for the wound immediately if they had any concerns.

The nurse was left feeling that perhaps there was something more she could have done to persuade Jack’s parents to let him have the injection.

The NMC states that nurses must “make sure that any treatment, assistance or care for which you are responsible is delivered without undue delay”, but also that they must “respect and uphold people’s human rights”. Box 5 features reflection points on Jack’s case.

Case 5: challenging a colleague’s decision
A nurse in charge of an orthopaedic ward on night duty asked the on-call anaesthetist to assess a patient who was in severe pain after back surgery. Max Foster, 52 years old, had been prescribed morphine as required, but this was not enough to control his pain. The nurse asked the anaesthetist to allow medication to be administered via a patient-controlled analgesia pump.

“The nurse said that if Max wasn’t given adequate pain relief, she would take the incident further”

The anaesthetist refused on the grounds that Max Foster “had had enough morphine”. The nurse reiterated that, despite the as-required morphine, the patient was still in severe pain. She made it clear that if Mr Foster was not given adequate pain relief, she would take the incident further. The anaesthetist reluctantly came on the ward and prescribed adequate medication, which reduced Mr Foster’s pain to an acceptable level.

In this case, the nurse did act along the lines of the NMC Code, being assertive and persistent in her efforts to advocate on Mr Foster’s behalf. She was able to “act as an advocate for the vulnerable, challenging poor practice” and ensured the “fundamentals of care” were delivered effectively (NMC, 2015), thereby ensuring that the patient received appropriate treatment in a timely manner. Box 6 features reflection points on Mr Foster’s story.

Occupational hierarchies
Some of the stories shared in this article should make you consider whether the traditional perception of the doctor-nurse relationship (doctors deemed superior to nurses, nurses considered doctors’ handmaids) still permeates the culture in certain healthcare settings. In recent years, there have been many documented cases in which the safety of patients was put at risk in settings perceived as being highly hierarchical, the concluding evidence being in favour of a ‘flattening’ of these hierarchies (Reid and Bromiley, 2012). These perceived hierarchies are certainly one of the reasons why nurses hesitate to speak up and advocate for their patients.

Conclusion
Nurses often find themselves in the position of supporting vulnerable people who are not able to speak up for themselves because of factors such as illness, mental capacity or social position. The NMC requires that, when patients’ wellbeing is threatened, nurses advocate on their behalf. However, for reasons such as age, gender, attitude to power, personality, social situation or conflict regarding professional roles, nurses may be reluctant to raise their heads above the parapet. Nurses should try to find ways to overcome the barriers hindering them to play their role in patient advocacy.
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A practical model for reflection on practice:
Bit.ly/NTReflectionModel